



OFFICE OF THE COCONINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SPECIAL SESSION MINUTES

March 6, 2023

3:00 p.m. – Special Session for a Joint meeting of the Flagstaff City Council and Coconino County Board of Supervisors. The meeting was live streamed on the City of Flagstaff’s website and held with a hybrid format of in-person at Flagstaff City Hall Council Chambers, 211 West Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff, AZ, and virtually via Teams.

1. Call to Order

Flagstaff Mayor Becky Daggett called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Present: Chair Patrice Horstman, Vice Chair Jeronimo Vasquez, Supervisor Judy Begay, Supervisor Matt Ryan (virtual), Supervisor Lena Fowler (virtual), Mayor Becky Daggett, Vice Mayor Austin Aslan, Councilmember Miranda Sweet, Councilmember Khara House, Councilmember Jim McCarthy, Councilmember Deborah Harris, and Councilmember Lori Matthews were present.

3. Pledge of Allegiance, Mission Statement, and land acknowledgement

Vice Mayor Austin Aslan led the pledge of allegiance. Councilmember Jim McCarthy read the City of Flagstaff’s Mission Statement; Councilmember Miranda Sweet read the City of Flagstaff’s land acknowledgement statement.

4. Public Participation

There were no comments from the public.

5. Presentation on the High-Country Training Academy

The City of Flagstaff Police Chief presented on this item with a Powerpoint titled High Country Training Academy. He introduced Lieutenant James Steng from the County Sheriff’s Department, and the new Coconino Community College (CCC) President Erik Heiser. Chief

Musselman provided a background of the training program and explained that the program is a partnership of Flagstaff Police Department, Coconino County Sheriff's Office, and Northern Arizona University Police Department. They had difficulty getting academy seats for new hires, academy costs were increasing along with housing options for the training. In 2022 the local agencies worked with Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board (AZPOST) and CCC to develop curriculum for the new High Country Training Academy with an 832-hour curriculum of instruction for 21 weeks. The lesson plans, curriculum, and facilities passed the audit by the AZPOST, and the first High Country Training Academy class started on October 3, 2022. There was a graduation of nine recruits on February 24, 2023. The majority of classes are held at the CCC campus and driver training is held down in Prescott and firearm instruction is at the Game and Fish range east of the city.

Chief Musselman also spoke about the benefits of the training. This included developing curriculum tailored to community and department standards, recruits being able to live in Flagstaff and be with their families during training, financial benefit of not having to pay housing and per diem costs for each recruit. He also spoke about their training having additional classes outside the requirements such as advanced DUI detection, Native American Cultural awareness, Active Shooter Awareness Training, Stop the Blood Tactical training and Mental Health First Aid. He spoke about the other benefits of being able to have significant oversight of police recruits to better ensure their success, as well as identifying deficiencies quicker and addressing them. Lastly Chief Musselman stated the organizations involved in the partnership again.

CCC President Eric Heiser spoke about his experience before coming to CCC with law enforcement training programs. He stated that CCC is a proud supporter and there have been some bumps in the road in kicking it off, but they are looking at taking care of those and look forward to continuing partnership and eventually turning it into a college program.

Mayor Becky Daggett asked if there is a recruit living in Phoenix or elsewhere, would they be able to attend the Flagstaff training. Chief Musselman answered that they likely would attend one close to their home but can come up here also.

Chair Horstman thanked them and spoke how he appreciates the partnership between the agencies. She also stated that there is a shortage and having this here will hopefully help to recruit, to serve our community.

Councilmember Lori Matthews thanked the CCC President for making room for this very needed addition to our community and stated she looks forward to the expansions in the future.

Supervisor Matt Ryan stated that he appreciates what they have put together as there have been struggles with recruitment. He welcomed the CCC President and stated that the collaboration is working well and hopefully they can get positions filled.

Councilmember McCarthy stated that he attended the graduation, and it was standing room only, moving and glad was able to go.

Supervisor Vasquez thanked the staff for their efforts, as well as CCC, Flagstaff Police, NAU Police and Sheriff's Office. He mentioned that the collaboration is great, that this is an exciting program that will impact the recruiting shortage. Supervisor Vasquez asked how much we are saving by having it here rather than sending to Phoenix. Chief Musselman stated that it is \$5,000-\$7000 per recruit for housing to go to Phoenix.

Councilmember Deborah Harris gave thanks and asked if they have thought about tours to provide to high school students. CCC President Heiser stated that they will give tours to any students, and it educates students that there is training that goes into law enforcement and he will welcome students to their space and will likely be back soon. Councilmember Harris stated it is important that when it comes to policing that being able to grow our own is really important and Chief has had experience with growing his own.

Supervisor Judy Begay thanked everyone for working together as it brings success. She also thanked Eric Heiser for being part of the partnership and welcomed him. She also thanked Chief Musselman, staff and City Council.

6. Regional Plan Update and Direction On Plan Creation and Adoption

Staff provided an update on the project status and reviewed the public participation and scenario planning process.

City of Flagstaff Comprehensive and Neighborhood Planning Manager Sarah Dechter presented a Powerpoint titled Flagstaff Regional Plan Update for 2045. She explained that the City of Flagstaff adopts the Regional Plan and then the County Board of Supervisors adopts the Plan as a major amendment to the County Comprehensive Plan. She explained why it is a Regional Plan.

Manager Dechter went over the ingredients of the plan: strategic organizational planning, specific and area plans, data analysis and forecasting, community vision and values, intergovernmental coordination, other policies and Master Plans. Manager Dechter also spoke about the guiding process principles that they have based on lessons learned, practice from specific area plans, and feedback from partners and staff. These include right people right agenda, right timing, experts and public work together, need for outside facilitation, equity and inclusion, and clear expectations for endorsement.

Coconino County Long Range Planner Melissa Shaw presented on the Comprehensive Plan, its timeline, and how it interacts with the Regional Plan process. She spoke about how they held scoping workshops. She explained that the bulk of the process for the Comprehensive Plan is later this year with adoption in 2025. She explained that the Board of Supervisors will review the scoping workshop results, public participation plan and process. She explained that she will be coordinating with regional plan data, map and scenario planning information.

Manager Dechter went over the public participation completed and what is ahead. She explained the 4 phases and levels of the public participation plan and stated that they are currently in phase 2 of the plan. She provided detailed examples of the outreach completed in phase 1 and 2 to date.

She also explained that they have a community-based organization process to reach more people and are also utilizing existing relationships for their outreach.

Councilmember Jim McCarthy stated that Metro Plan just released for public comment, StrideForward, focused on transportation and that they have two ways of approaching it in the same report- onward and upward. He asked how the Regional Plan and StrideForward interact with each other. Manager Dechter answered that the StrideForward effort was coordinated with the Regional Plan early on because they are working with the same data and there is a lot of overlap and coordination. She explained that the StrideForward plan is a five-year plan, and that the primary purpose is to identify projects for transportation to receive federal funding.

Vice Chair Vasquez asked what the efforts are to make sure all the communities covered by this plan are engaged so they have a voice. Manager Dechter explained that they asked people to come to the meeting and note where they live, work and play. This was a tool to see who was showing up and getting a postcard. She identified a few parts of Flagstaff that participated at lower rates, such as the Sunnyside neighborhood. She explained that they tried to work with organization with existing relationships in those neighborhoods. She also explained that they are providing daycare and Spanish translation to try to bring more people to the workshops.

Horstman asked what the City Council and Board of Supervisors can do to help get the word out and ensure that they get diverse and meaningful responses. Manager Dechter stated that they are getting the advertisement out for the public workshops this week and will inform the Board and Council so they can share the information and invited the Board and Council to observe the meetings to give feedback. She also explained that they created a couple weeks in the timeline to do fast, rapid engagement with communities where they may be missing participation from.

Consultant Cascadia Partners representative Alex Steinberger presented on scenario planning. He explained what scenario planning is and how it is different from the way things were done in the 20th century. He explained that some predictions came true like self-driving cars, GPS, freeways and suburbs but there were other things not planned for such as traffic, gas prices, working from home, pandemics, climate change, decline of brick-and-mortar retail. He explained that this way of planning (traditional planning) doesn't work anymore so scenario planning is the effective process for planning. He explained that scenario planning considers the possibility of multiple futures, uses data informed decision-making and uses technical tools that bring data into the decision-making process. He spoke about the scenario planning process that will form the basis of the goals and policies within the Regional Plan and how it focuses on public input, and how feedback will be used for software Urban Footprint. Mr. Steinberger also spoke about the upcoming public engagement, public workshop learning objectives, how workshop outcomes will inform scenario creation and how maps that participants create will be digitized and tested in scenarios. He showed examples of maps that identify consensus and inform alternative solutions. Lastly, he spoke about how to get a preferred scenario, the four workshops scheduled, opportunities for participation, and the timeline for the Council and Board to see results of scenario planning.

Mayor Daggett stated that she loves scenario planning. She asked if the scenarios would include economic impacts such as cost of infrastructure, maintaining that infrastructure, congestion. She stated they discuss all the time about increasing density and keeping with the land use patterns the city has had in that past and that she thinks it is important for people to see those differences. Mr. Steinberger answered yes and that there will be a range of different performance indicators and that they haven't settled on the indicators that will be used yet but they do have the capability of reporting out things such as greenhouse gas emissions, vehicle miles traveled, operations and maintenance costs, capital costs of new infrastructure in each scenario at a general and comparative level and that they can report out on other indicators like housing costs. Manager Dechter added that City staff plans to take what they learn and investigate what is needed to be pulled into the report cards. One of the things the city is investing in is land suitability and availability analysis.

Councilmember Harris stated she knows how important it is when we do processes like this to make sure all segments of the community are involved, because if not, neighborhoods can be adversely affected. She asked how staff are going to really ensure that those voices are heard. Manager Dechter answered that the community-based organization effort with nonprofit will be helpful but also the overall outreach approach at each phase to slow down and pull people in and to be transparent as to who has shown up and she further provided examples of what they plan to do for outreach.

Councilmember Lori Matthews stated that she needs more clarification on the game boards and asked if someone is putting a chip down is there a consequence or is there an interaction. Mr. Steinberger answered that there is some feedback communicated to the participants depending on where they put the chip down, explaining to them of things like wildfire and flooding implications.

Chair Horstman asked if the scenario planning and game going to be available for the public workshops at the end of the month. Mr. Steinberger answered yes.

Supervisor Matt Ryan explained that he is trying to process the information and that it being data driven is important on how they keep people involved. He explained that he likes the idea of nonprofits but is not sold on nonprofits. He explained that if they come to obstacles or a certain area of indecision then he is wondering how the Board and Council get an update and help impact the process if necessary. He explained that they will not want to insert themselves entirely but want to help build in the factors to include people's voices. Manager Dechter asked him to repeat the question. Supervisor Ryan stated that he likes what they are doing, and he is not going to ask a question and rather is just processing the information.

Manager Dechter spoke about the Advisory Committee and Policy Translation for the Regional Plan. She explained that they have usually had a committee for the process, and it has been done differently throughout the years and it is important for policy translation. She explained that a committee can be helpful. She explained that there are key points of information including the timeframe, committee objective/scope, number of members, rules and procedures of the City or County, and methods of soliciting and making appointments.

Manager Dechter explained that staff recommendation overall for forming a committee. This included what is needed for any option presented, a diverse group that represents a wide variety of interest and community perspectives, all meetings be recorded and open to the public to provide comments following rules of order, start meeting in August 2023, and no more than 12-15 individuals.

Manager Dechter stated that staff is seeking direction on how the Board of Supervisors and City Council would like to form a committee to oversee the selection of a scenario, creation of a Future Growth Illustration, and plan translation to goals and policies.

Manager Dechter presented three options for the Council and Board to consider and noted that staff recommend option 1.

Option 1: Bond Committee model

- Each Council and Board member provide two nominations to the City and County Manager.
- City and County Manager review and invite 12-15 members to the Committee.
- Pros: Swifter; more flexible ad hoc format; can still ensure diversity
- Cons: Open meeting law not required by State but can be followed; elected officials do not directly appoint.

Flagstaff City Clerk Stacy Saltzburg and City Manager Greg Clifton spoke regarding option 1. Clerk Saltzburg that the process went well once the group convened and followed open meeting law requirement. If the City Council were to want to go through an appointment process, then they would need to form a commission which is held within the City Code, which would include an Ordinance in the process and is more of an intense process. City Manager Greg Clifton agreed that he thought it was a good process and that he supports Option 1 and spoke about his experience with this sort of process. He recommended making an adjustment for how much would make a quorum to ensure business continues.

Councilmember Jim McCarthy explained that he is concerned about the diversity component as the committee may need homebuilders and people of different professions.

Vice Chair Jeronimo Vasquez asked if the representatives for the County have to be from rural communities or if they can be from the city. County Manager Peru answered that the discussion needs to lead to that point to help determine geographic representation.

Chair Horstman stated that she is concerned that 12-15 people on the committee isn't enough, and Councilmember McCarthy agreed.

Supervisor Matt Ryan explained that the commission method isn't a method that the Board of Supervisors uses but more of an appointment component. He stated there is flexibility with this option and that it is important to ensure they are trying to diversify. He stated it is similar to what was done with the Jail District. He explained that he wants to make sure there is communication back to the Council and Board from staff regarding the committee to make sure the community is covered as well as they can.

Chair Horstman stated that there are other alternatives, but that she does like the flexibility of option 1 and that the spirit of the open meeting law is going to be made.

Supervisor Begay thanked staff for the presentation. She stated she agrees that 12-15 members is not enough, that she does think there should be structure to run a meeting because someone can come back and challenge what they do. She stated that she is glad they are having the meeting and that they also need to consider interest groups.

Manager Dechter explained option 2, which would be a formal Commission appointment:

- Need a formal authority with a resolution for a joint body to be formed.
- Open call for applications for a joint commission.
- Recommend a special review of applications by 2 Council members and 2 Board members to make a recommendation.
- Brought to a joint public meeting for vote and 12-15 board members are appointed.
- Quorum rules and the Board and Commission Manual from the City would apply to this process.

She spoke about the pros and cons of this option:

- Pros: Council and Board have direct input on membership; subject to all open meeting laws; formal process is open to all residents; recommendations made straight to elected officials.
- Cons: Very time-consuming process; limited by the amount of applications received; subject to quorum requirements; potential project delays; without special appointment process; diversity may not be ensured.

She also noted that the Council/Board would need to schedule 4-6 joint meetings for process alone.

Lastly Manager Dechter went over option 3, which she explained is the affordable housing plan model that was used:

- Working group reporting to the Joint Planning and Zoning Commission
 - Can involve members of the community selected by the Commission
 - All working group items are sent to the Commission for review and approval
 - Similar to the process for 2001 Regional Plan

Chair Horstman stated that option 1 was the recommendation made by staff. Councilmember House stated that she is leaning towards option 1 because it provides flexibility but agrees with the number of committee members needing to be re-assessed. Councilmember Sweet stated she is also in favor of option 1 and also in favor of increasing the recommended number. Supervisor Begay stated she also likes option 1 because of flexibility and that for the numbers that each council member and board member would provide 2 member recommendations. Councilmember McCarthy stated he likes option 1 with the option of going up to 20 committee members. Mayor Daggett stated she also likes option 1 and the number of committee members staff thinks is necessary for bringing back a good recommendation. Councilmember Aslan stated he also

prefers option 1 but is of the opinion that staff should go bigger on the number of committee members, but not sure how much bigger. He stated there are 11 people between the Council and Board and if they each do two then that is 22 members. He stated he also appreciates the fact that the City Manager and County Manager would have ultimate discretion and that he appreciates the opportunity to provide input. Vice Chair Vasquez said he is in favor of option 1 as it has more flexibility. He stated that if the council members and supervisors each recommended 2 committee members that would be 24 committee members so staff may want to consider that for the amount of committee members. Councilmember Harris stated option 1 for her.

Councilmember Matthews stated that she also is in favor of option 1 also and in having alternate committee members that can come in when someone is gone or sick or if something happens.

Supervisor Ryan stated he is in favor of option 1 and in providing feedback with authority to managers but engaging in communication as to who is being recommended. He also stated that if there are alternates that they should be attending a certain amount, so they are not starting fresh.

Chair Horstman stated that she agrees with the consensus of the group and does like option 1 and does not think 12-15 is enough. She stated that she would like to see an uneven number, likes the idea of the managers making the final decision, and appreciates having input and making sure it is a diverse committee. Supervisor Fowler stated that she is in favor of option 1 and how she keeps thinking about how planning in the greater Flagstaff area has a ripple effect throughout the whole County, since many of our residents travel into Flagstaff to work or and there is so much movement that takes place in the planning. She stated that option 1 needs to be diversified to have a broad perspective, because it is not just within the boundaries of the city but has a greater impact and is far reaching.

Manager Dechter spoke about the plan adoption and went over the staff recommended sequencing for when there is a plan drafted. This included:

- Receive recommendations from Regional Plan Committee.
- Joint retreat with Council, Board of Supervisors, Planning and Zoning Commissioners to review final draft.
- City of Flagstaff Public Hearings – Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council.
- City of Flagstaff Voter ratification.
- Coconino County Public Hearings as a major amendment or possibly a new element of the updated comprehensive plan- Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors.

Manager Dechter requested feedback regarding the recommended sequencing presented.

Councilmember Matthews asked if the plan goes out to the Flagstaff city voters but do the County residents vote on it. Manager Dechter answered, no, that county residents don't vote on this per statute. She explained that they have an FAQ regarding this on the website.

Supervisor Ryan stated he likes the recommendation from staff and the idea of the retreat concept for the Board and Council to align a plan. It is a regional plan as much as possible- try to align it as much as possible, but they are independent bodies of governance with different constituencies. He explained that he likes that they meet together and no matter what would be good to have our independent work sessions as well to look at the draft plan. Have decision

pieces and want to ensure they put together the best plan as possible and in the end, they will have to adopt the plan separately. Councilmember Matthews stated she supports the sequencing presented. Councilmember Harris stated she supports it as well. Supervisor Vasquez stated he also supports it that it looks good, and he also appreciates the retreat idea for the Board and council to go over the plan. Councilmembers Aslan and Sweet both stated they support the recommended sequencing from staff and Supervisors Begay and Fowler and Chair Horstman stated they support it as well. Supervisor Ryan stated he supports the recommendation but that there needs to be work session prior to the retreat where the Board and Council come together. Manager Dechter stated she got the answer on what she needs to move forward.

The Council and Board took a break at 6:00 p.m. and returned from break at 6:12 p.m.

Supervisor Lena Fowler did not come back from the break and was absent the rest of the meeting.

7. Post Fire Flood Mitigation/Forest Restoration

Deputy County Manager/Flood District Administrator Lucinda Andreani presented a Powerpoint titled Update on Flood Control District Flood Mitigation Projects. She provided an update on the Park Way Sediment Basins Project. She explained that the project is on City owned property and is designed as a sediment basin. She explained that the project is moving forward with a modified scope of work, that there is one basin versus the originally planned three basins. She also explained how the modification resulted from the need to re-evaluate downstream mitigation, which delayed moving forward with the project and the remaining time to construct does not allow for completing the original project within the NRCS deadline. She also stated that the District and City plan to complete construction of the two other basins this fall. She showed a topography slide of the basin as to what is being constructed soon and the two that are constructed in fall.

Administrator Andreani provided an update on the Schultz/Pipeline flood mitigation. She explained that the Flood District Board approved federal funding agreements last week. She spoke about the Good Neighbor Agreement with the U.S. Forest Service for \$42 million for on-forest watershed restoration measures on the nine watersheds impacted by the Pipeline Fire, which includes \$5 million for Schultz Creek Watershed. She also spoke about the NRCS EWPP Agreement for \$9 million for the first two projects, Wupatki Trails and Brandis Flood Corridors. She explained the watershed projects that will occur this spring and summer, as well as projects that will be started in fall. She explained that they selected contractor Tiffany Construction for the on-forest work. She showed a slide that was for communications as to where people can find more information for the Museum Flood and Schultz/Pipeline Flood mitigation work and explained that they will be getting information out to residents regarding the Park Way project.

Administrator Andreani explained that the next portion of the presentation will be regarding forest restoration in the Upper Rio De Flag and the need for a wildfire flood study.

Forest Restoration Director Jay Smith stated that forest restoration is the best way to prevent post-wildfire flooding. He explained that they have focused on Bill Williams Mountain up to this date and have treated about 500 acres and have 800 acres left and that they feel comfortable

refocusing some of the money and time on the western slope of the Peaks and the Upper Rio watershed. He explained that they had contracted engineers JE Fuller to do a fire model on that area and then do rain modeling so they can see where the water goes.

Contracted Engineer Joe Loverich spoke about needing to look at the potential coming from the rest of the south side of the Peaks and what it could look like if there were a fire impacting it. He explained the objectives of the Study:

- Determine potential burn severity of the south side of the Peaks
- Determine potential flood risk to the County and City if all or portions of that area burn
- Continue development of post-fire flood risk modeling techniques
- Assist in determination of highest priority forest treatment areas
- Coordination with USFS, City of Flagstaff and other partners

Mr. Loverich also spoke about Fire Modeling and the different scenarios if the Peaks burn and the impact to the watershed and flood impact. He explained that the fire modeling details they have to consider include what the vegetation is in its current state and burn severity, types of trees and grasslands/meadow areas and made it the burn severity similar to what has happened in past fires. He also spoke about slope ranges and how debris flows initiate in the steep slopes, he explained the multiple challenges on the Peaks including the forest, wilderness area and steep slopes that lead to flooding in the city. He also spoke about flood modeling of the Peaks and that the results are preliminary but does show significant potential impact to the City of Flagstaff. He explained that modeling lays the groundwork that can be used to help make decisions.

Forest Restoration Director Jay Smith spoke about taking the information provided by the work of the models to see where they spend money and put equipment to have the most impact. Director Smith presented a Powerpoint titled Upper Rio de Flag Forest Restoration. He spoke about how they are looking to do an Economic Impact Study and NAU's Economic Policy Institute is conducting a post-wildfire economic impact study, similar to what they did for Bill Williams Mountain, for the Upper Rio Watershed. Director Smith stated that the cost to be reactive to a fire is 20-30 times more than being proactive in restoring the forest. He also stated that he assumes the impact will be over \$1 billion and maybe even over \$2 billion. Director Smith also spoke about proactive implementation:

- Flood Control District's Forest Restoration Initiative 5-year plan to invest \$30 million on forest restoration treatments in Upper Rio watershed
- Coconino National Forest is committing to focus on this priority fireshed/watershed
- Coordinate with City of Flagstaff to expand FWPP further into Upper Rio
- Engage more partners like: Arizona Department of Forestry and Fire Management, National Forest Foundation, The Nature Conservancy, and Greater Flagstaff Forest Partnership and the private sector like Arizona Snowbowl and others using the area

Director Smith explained that the last thing in getting all of this done is industry and how they continue to struggle getting industry of forest products and a place to take the wood.

Administrator Andreani explained that it is going to take a partnership to reduce the overall risk of the entire area. She spoke about the wilderness area is longer natural and there has been

discussion about bringing back a lot of the native practices of the land at the federal level. She explained that they are going to need commitments soon.

Councilmember Lori Matthews asked if there is a consideration for a bond. Director Smith stated that everything is on the table. Administrator Andreani explained that once there is a better understanding of the costs then there needs to be a commitment. She explained that everyone understanding the threat and the need for forest restoration and how do they get through this over time- do they get the funds through a sales tax initiative.

Chair Horstman stated that the County tried to put the money for forest restoration in a lock box and with the emergencies that have happened with fires and flood mitigation that it has been essential to get the federal funding they were able to get. She stated that they will continue to put \$30 million of that money for forest restoration and don't want it to get eaten up by another very important project which is flood mitigation. She stated they are pleased with their congressional delegation who got them \$90 million for flood mitigation and how they appreciate their partnership with the City of Flagstaff. Supervisor Vasquez stated it is important for forest restoration and being proactive rather than reactive like what is happening with the Pipeline and Tunnel Fire and said he is excited that they finally pushed through the funding that was previously stalled and offered congratulations. Mayor Daggett stated she is very appreciative of the modeling as that has been a piece they have been missing when talking about potential impacts. She stated she believes the Council is all in to protect the community from wildfire and resulting flooding.

8. Informational Items To/From Chairman, Supervisors and County Manager, Mayor, Council and City Manager

This item was addressed at 6:55 p.m. Councilmember Sweet stated that she appreciates the people at the meeting and that it was a good meeting. Supervisor Judy Begay thanked everyone for being at the meeting and that she appreciates the staff. Councilmember Jim McCarthy stated that it was good information presented and also mentioned that Kate Morley is the new Executive Director of Metro Plan. Supervisor Matt Ryan stated he looks forward to working with the new Council and new Mayor and how the City and County have had a long history of working together. Councilmember Matthews thanked staff and stated it is a pleasure to get to know the Supervisors and looks forward to working with them. Councilmember Harris provided thanks to staff of the City and County. Supervisor Vasquez thanked staff and stated it was good to meet new City Councilmembers. Vice Mayor Aslan stated that these meetings are important, that he values them and is looking forward to the next one. Chair Horstman thanked the city for hosting them. She thanked the City for working in partnership with the County, especially when talking about forest restoration and flood mitigation, housing, short-term rental, and so many issues, and appreciates the hard work of staff. She explained that the weather had been a big thing and there have been impacts and stated that the County has set up a call center.

Corey Ringenberg explained that as a result of the snowfall, snow loads have caused roofs to collapse in the city and surrounding areas. He explained that they have educational resources and materials and have posted online a FEMA snow load safety guidance and a list of local providers offering snow removal services on roofs. And how to identify structural damage to property.

The Call center was set up for building inspectors to inspect property and they were able to assist within hours.

Chair Horstman mentioned that DFFM gave Coconino County and the City of Flagstaff a total of \$27 million- the largest percentage for the state of Arizona from DFFM.

Mayor Daggett mentioned that she is grateful for the relationship the City and County have and how they are able to work together and thanked staff.

9. Public Participation

There was no comment from the public.

10. Adjourn

Mayor Daggett adjourned the meeting at 7:06 p.m.

COCONINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(SEAL)



Patrice Horstman, Chair

ATTEST:


Lindsay Daley, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors